

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/800,828	JOHNSON, TED C.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	UMAR CHEEMA	2444	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) UMAR CHEEMA (3) _____
 (2) Romiwa Akpala (Reg. No. 59,775) (4) _____

Date of Interview: 19 January 2012

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____

Issues Discussed ☐ 101 ☐ 112 ☐ 102 ☐ 103 ☒ Others
 (For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: All

Identification of prior art discussed: None

Substance of Interview

(For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

A phone call was made to applicant's representative Mr. Akpala with the approval of Primary Examiner Dienane Bayard on 19 January 2012, for proposed amendments to independent claim 23 to overcome 101 issue and to incorporate dependent claims 5-7 into independent claim 1 and similar subject matter into other independent claims in order to allow the application. A proposed examiner's amendment draft was emailed to applicant's representative which was approved by Mr. Akpala via email on January 23, 2012.

Applicant recodation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

Examiner recodation instructions: Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recodation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recodation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

☐ Attachment

/Umar Cheema/
 Examiner, Art Unit 2444